

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/534,489	05/11/2005	Sung Ho Choo	3449-0477PUS1	7114		
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 09/20/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ARMAND, MARC ANTHONY			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2814			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/20/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/534,489 CHOO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Marc-Anthony Armand 2814 The MAILING DATE of this commu

<i> ۱ ne</i> Period for Re	MAILING DATE or this communication appears on the cover sneet with the correspondence address ply
WHICHEV - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair any reply rev	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ERIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event however, may a reply be timely filled MCRITIS from the mailing date of this communication. MCRITIS from the mailing date of the communication. Journal of the communication of the communic
Status	
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Since	consive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July 2007.</u> action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ad in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of	f Claims
4a) C 5) ☐ Clair 6) ☐ Clair 7) ☐ Clair	n(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) 28-52 is/are withdrawn from consideration. In(s) is/are allowed. In(s) is/are rejected. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application P	apers
10)☐ The o Appli Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examiner. frawing(s) filed onis/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. cant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). acement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). bath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
a)	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). te attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	

1)	Ш	Notice of	Reference	es Cited (P	ГО-892)		
			Draftspers	son's Paten	t Drawing	Review	(PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)	Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/534,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-27 in Paper No. 10534489 is
acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specially point out the supposed
errors of the process as proposed by the Examiner in the restriction requirement that is
considered to be distinct from the process of the claimed invention; thus the election
has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP 818.03 (a)).

In addition, even tough they are classified together; each invention can be shown to have formed a separate subject for inventive effort. Separate status in the art may be shown by citing patents, which are evidence of such separate status, and also of separate field of search. Therefore, the restriction still remains proper and burden is shown by the different classes that the examiner have to search.

Election/Restrictions

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - Species 1, claims 1-10 reading on figure 7
 - Species 2, claims 11-19 reading on figure 8
 - Species 3, claims 20-22 reading on figure 9
 - Species 4, claims 23-26 reading on figures 13

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/534,489
Art Unit: 2814

 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently there is no apparent generic claim.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to there mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and /or 35 U.S.C. 112. first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even tough the requirement may be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected

species, including any claims subsequently unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely, Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,489 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by CFR 1.141.

 A telephone call was made to James Eller on 09/12/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the applicant could not be reach.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc-Anthony Armand whose telephone number is 571-272-9751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 9-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,489 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A./ /Thao X Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814